

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
TAMAR PACTER
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
NOREEN P. SKELLY
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 186135
EMMANUELLE S. SOICHET
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 290754
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 210-6057
Fax: (916) 324-8835
E-mail: Noreen.Skelly@doj.ca.gov
*Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Xavier
Becerra*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MICHAEL ZELNY, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

**EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., an individual,
in his official capacity; XAVIER
BECERRA, an individual, in his official
capacity; CITY OF MENLO PARK, a
municipal corporation; and DAVE
BERTINI, in his official capacity,**

Defendants.

CV 17-7357 JCS

**DEFENDANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
XAVIER BECERRA'S ANSWER TO
PLAINTIFF MICHAEL ZELNY'S
COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

Judge: The Honorable Richard G.
Seeborg

Action Filed: December 28, 2017

Xavier Becerra, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California, hereby responds to Plaintiff Michael Zeleny's Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies the allegations.

2. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

3. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

4. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

5. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

6. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

7. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits that Plaintiff Zeleny has asserted claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendant Becerra denies that the California statutes Plaintiff Zeleny alleges were invoked or applied against him are unconstitutional. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

8. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny challenges the facial validity of California statutes restricting the public display of unloaded firearms, specifically California Penal Code sections 26400 and 26350. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of the First, Second, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to any relief under the First, Second, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution or the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988.

10. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

11. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Defendant Becerra denies Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to the requested declaratory judgment.

12. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendant Becerra denies Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to the requested injunctive relief.

13. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 42 U.S.C. § 1988. Defendant Becerra denies Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and/or costs.

14. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) and California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5. Defendant Becerra denies Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and/or costs.

15. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies committing any unlawful acts. Indeed, the Complaint lacks any allegations demonstrating any unlawful acts by Defendant Becerra. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

THE PARTIES

16. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Defendant Becerra denies engaging in any acts which would give rise to Plaintiff Zeleny's action.

17. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

18. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits that Edmund G. Brown Jr. has served as the Governor of the State of California since 2010. Defendant Becerra admits that Governor Brown is a resident of California, and a citizen of the United States.

19. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits he is the Attorney General of the State of California. Defendant Becerra admits that he is a resident of California, and a citizen of the United States.

20. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

21. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

22. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies the unlawful acts and omissions alleged in the Complaint, but admits that he acted lawfully under color of state law. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks

1 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and,
2 therefore, denies the allegations therein.

3 **FACTS**

4 23. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Defendant
5 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
6 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

7 24. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Complaint, Defendant
8 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
9 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

10 25. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the Complaint, Defendant
11 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
12 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

13 26. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Complaint, Defendant
14 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
15 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

16 27. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the Complaint, Defendant
17 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

19 28. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Complaint, Defendant
20 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
21 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

22 29. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the Complaint, Defendant
23 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
24 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

25 30. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Defendant
26 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
27 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

1 31. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the Complaint, Defendant
2 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
3 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

4 32. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the Complaint, Defendant
5 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
6 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

7 33. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Defendant
8 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
9 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

10 34. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the Complaint, Defendant
11 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
12 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

13 35. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the Complaint, Defendant
14 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
15 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

16 36. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the Complaint, Defendant
17 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

19 37. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the Complaint, Defendant
20 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
21 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

22 38. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the Complaint, Defendant
23 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
24 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

25 39. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the Complaint, Defendant
26 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
27 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

1 40. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the Complaint, Defendant
2 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
3 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

4 41. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the Complaint, Defendant
5 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
6 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

7 42. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 42 of the Complaint, Defendant
8 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
9 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

10 43. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the Complaint, Defendant
11 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
12 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

13 44. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 44 of the Complaint, Defendant
14 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
15 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

16 45. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the Complaint, Defendant
17 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

19 46. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the Complaint, Defendant
20 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
21 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

22 47. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 47 of the Complaint, Defendant
23 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
24 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

25 48. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 48 of the Complaint, Defendant
26 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
27 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

1 49. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 49 of the Complaint, Defendant
2 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
3 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

4 50. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 50 of the Complaint, Defendant
5 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
6 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

7 51. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 51 of the Complaint, Defendant
8 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
9 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

10 52. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 52 of the Complaint, Defendant
11 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
12 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

13 53. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 53 of the Complaint, Defendant
14 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
15 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

16 54. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 54 of the Complaint, Defendant
17 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

19 55. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 55 of the Complaint, Defendant
20 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
21 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

22 56. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 56 of the Complaint, Defendant
23 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
24 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

25 57. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 57 of the Complaint, Defendant
26 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
27 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

1 58. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 58 of the Complaint, Defendant
2 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
3 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

4 59. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 59 of the Complaint, Defendant
5 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
6 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

7 60. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the Complaint, Defendant
8 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
9 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

10 61. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 61 of the Complaint, Defendant
11 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
12 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

13 62. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 62 of the Complaint, Defendant
14 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
15 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

16 63. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 63 of the Complaint, Defendant
17 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

19 64. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 64 of the Complaint, Defendant
20 Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not
21 require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra
22 lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and,
23 therefore, denies the allegations therein.

24 65. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 65 of the Complaint, Defendant
25 Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code section 26350. The remainder of the
26 paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or
27 denial.
28

1 66. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the Complaint, Defendant
2 Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code section 26375. The remainder of the
3 paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or
4 denial.

5 67. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the Complaint, Defendant
6 Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code section 26400. The remainder of the
7 paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or
8 denial.

9 68. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 68 of the Complaint, Defendant
10 Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code section 26405, subdivision (r). The
11 remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require
12 admission or denial.

13 69. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 69 of the Complaint, Defendant
14 Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code section 25510. The remainder of the
15 paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or
16 denial.

17 70. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 70 of the Complaint, Defendant
18 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
19 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

20 71. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 71 of the Complaint, Defendant
21 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
22 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

23 72. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 72 of the Complaint, Defendant
24 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
25 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

26 73. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 73 of the Complaint, Defendant
27 Becerra admits the First Amendment to the United States Constitution protects verbal and non-
28 verbal forms of speech. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or

1 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the
2 allegations therein.

3 74. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 74 of the Complaint, Defendant
4 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
5 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

6 75. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 75 of the Complaint, Defendant
7 Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not
8 require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra
9 lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and,
10 therefore, denies the allegations therein.

11 76. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 76 of the Complaint, Defendant
12 Becerra admits the content of the First and Second Amendment to the United States Constitution,
13 and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. The
14 remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require
15 admission or denial.

16 77. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 77 of the Complaint, Defendant
17 Becerra denies suppressing or threatening to suppress Plaintiff Zeleny's lawful exercise of his
18 Constitutional rights. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or
19 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the
20 allegations therein.

21 78. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 78 of the Complaint, Defendant
22 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
23 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

24 79. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 79 of the Complaint, Defendant
25 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
26 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

1 80. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 80 of the Complaint, Defendant
2 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
3 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

4 81. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 81 of the Complaint, Defendant
5 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
6 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

7 82. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the Complaint, Defendant
8 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
9 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

10 83. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 83 of the Complaint, Defendant
11 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
12 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

13 84. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 84 of the Complaint, Defendant
14 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
15 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

16 85. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 85 of the Complaint, Defendant
17 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

19 86. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 86 of the Complaint, Defendant
20 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
21 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

22 87. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 87 of the Complaint, Defendant
23 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
24 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

25 88. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 88 of the Complaint, Defendant
26 Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
27 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

89. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

90. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 90 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

DECLARATORY RELIEF

91. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 91 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies that an actual, substantial, justiciable, and continuing controversy exists between Plaintiff Zeleny and himself. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

92. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 92 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

93. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 93 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

94. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 94 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

95. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 95 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code sections 25510, 26375, and 26400. Defendant Becerra contends that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

96. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 96 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

97. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 97 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

FIRST COUNT

98. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 98 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-97 as though set forth fully herein.

99. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 99 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the contents of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Defendant Becerra contends that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

100. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 100 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

101. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 101 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

102. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 102 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

103. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 103 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

SECOND COUNT

104. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 104 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-103 as though set forth fully herein.

105. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 105 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the contents of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Defendant Becerra contends that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

106. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 106 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

107. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 107 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

108. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 108 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

109. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 109 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

110. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 110 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

THIRD COUNT

111. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 111 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-110 as though set forth fully herein.

112. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 112 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the contents of the First and Second Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Defendant Becerra contends that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

113. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 113 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

114. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 114 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

115. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 115 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

116. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 116 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

117. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 117 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

118. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 118 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

119. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 119 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

120. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 120 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

121. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 121 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

FOURTH COUNT

122. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 122 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-121 as though set forth fully herein.

123. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 123 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

124. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 124 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

125. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 125 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

126. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 126 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

127. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 127 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

128. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 128 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

FIFTH COUNT

129. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 129 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-128 as though set forth fully herein.

130. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 130 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

131. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 131 of the Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny has asserted a claim under the California

1 Constitution. Defendant Becerra contends that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal
 2 argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission
 3 or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a
 4 belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

5 132. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 132 of the Complaint,
 6 Defendant Becerra contends that the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions,
 7 which do not require admission or denial. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny has
 8 asserted a claim under the California Constitution. To the extent admission or denial is required,
 9 Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
 10 allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

11 133. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 133 of the Complaint,
 12 Defendant Becerra denies promulgating policies and/or procedures, conducted under color of
 13 state law, that deprived Plaintiff Zeleny of rights secured by the Equal Protection Clause of the
 14 Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. The remainder of the paragraph
 15 consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the
 16 extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information
 17 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations
 18 therein.

19 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

20 A. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment,
 21 “pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on all Defendants, that California Penal Code §§ 26400
 22 and 26350 are unconstitutional.”

23 B. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment,
 24 “pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on all Defendants, that California Penal Code §§ 25510,
 25 26375, and 26405 do not require municipal approval of ‘authorized participants’ in an
 26 entertainment event or film or video production, and that Zeleny is legally permitted to carry
 27 unloaded firearms in connection with his entertainment events and/or his film or video
 28

1 productions, without the need for City approval, subject to compliance with other applicable
2 laws.”

3 C. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment,
4 “pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on all Defendants, that Zeleny’s peaceful carrying of
5 unloaded firearms in the course of his speech on matters of public concern or matters of political,
6 social, or other concerns to the community or issues of significant importance to the public as a
7 whole, is constitutionally protected; in the alternative, enter a declaratory judgment, pursuant to
8 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on all Defendants, that Zeleny’s peaceful carrying of unloaded
9 firearms in the course of his entertainment events and/or his film or video productions, is
10 constitutionally protected.”

11 D. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment,
12 “pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on the City and Bertini, that Zeleny’s speech activity
13 concerning the child rape cover-up by the Zhus and their associates is constitutionally protected
14 and not obscene or ‘obscene as to minors’ within the meaning of the California Penal Code, and
15 that their public display would not violate Penal Code §§ 311.2, 313.1(a), and 313.4, in virtue of
16 its serious literary, artistic, political, and social value.”

17 E. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment,
18 “pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, that the City of Menlo Park’s Special Event Permit requirement is
19 unconstitutional on its face, or in the alternative, as applied to Zeleny’s protests.”

20 F. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an injunction, “against
21 Defendants prohibiting them from enforcing Penal Code §§ 26400 and 26350 against Zeleny in
22 connection with his peaceful protests, from enforcing the City of Menlo Park’s Special Event
23 Permit requirement, and from refusing to grant Zeleny required permits based on the content of
24 his speech activity.”

25 G. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment,
26 “pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, construing California Penal Code §§ 25510, 26405, and 26375
27 and enter a declaratory judgment stating that these sections exempt from sanctions under
28 California Penal Code §§ 25400, 26400, and 26350 for the carrying of an unloaded handgun and

of an unloaded firearm that is not a handgun, *any individual* who acts and/or holds himself out as an authorized participant in, or serves as an authorized employee or agent of a supplier of firearms for, a motion picture, television or video production, or entertainment event, when the participant lawfully uses that firearm as part of that production or event, as part of rehearsing or practicing for participation in that production or event, or while the participant or authorized employee or agent is at that production or event, or rehearsal or practice for that production or event. Relevant actions and representations include, without limitation, displaying ornamental signs or multimedia artworks; reciting slogans, speeches, or poetry; playing trumpets, accordions, bagpipes, or other musical instruments; and/or wearing conspicuous costumes, makeup, wigs, clown noses, or other decorative prostheses.”

H. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an award of attorneys fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, and costs as provided by law.

I. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an award of any such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

Plaintiff Zeleny fails to state a claim for relief against Defendant Becerra.

SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiff Zeleny’s alleged violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution (Complaint, ¶¶ 129-133) fails because no case or controversy exists between Plaintiff Zeleny and Defendant Becerra.

THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff Zeleny’s alleged violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution (Complaint, ¶¶ 129-133) is barred by the Eleventh Amendment.

1 **FOURTH DEFENSE**

2 To the extent that Plaintiff Zeleny alleges a violation of the California Constitution
3 (Complaint, ¶¶ 132), such claim is barred because a federal court may not grant relief against a
4 state official on the basis of state law. *Pennhurst State Sch. & Hosp. v. Halderman*, 465 U.S. 89
5 (1984).

6 **FIFTH DEFENSE**

7 Defendant Becerra has insufficient knowledge on which to form a belief as to whether he
8 may have additional, as yet unstated, defenses available. Defendant Becerra reserves the right to
9 assert additional defenses in the event that discovery indicates such additional defenses are
10 proper.

11 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

12 **WHEREFORE**, Defendant Becerra prays for judgment as follows:

- 13 1. Plaintiff Zeleny take nothing from Defendant Becerra by way of this action;
14 2. Plaintiff Zeleny's Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief be dismissed with
15 prejudice, and judgment entered in favor of Defendant Becerra;
16 3. Defendant Becerra be awarded costs of suit, and any other relief which the Court
17 deems proper.

18
19 Dated: April 24, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

20 XAVIER BECERRA
21 Attorney General of California
22 TAMAR PACHTER
23 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
24 EMMANUELLE S. SOICHET
25 Deputy Attorney General

26 /s/ Noreen P. Skelly

27 NOREEN P. SKELLY
28 Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General
Xavier Becerra

SA2018100198
13053917.docx

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: **Zeleny, Michael v. Edmund G. Brown, et al.** No. **CV 17-7357 JCS**

I hereby certify that on April 25, 2018, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

DEFENDANT ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF MICHAEL ZELENY'S COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 25, 2018, at Sacramento, California.

Eileen A. Ennis

Declarant

s/ Eileen A. Ennis

Signature